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The FY 2009 UEN Budget is ready for review and approval by the UEN Steering Committee. The proposed budget was previously reviewed by the Executive Committee, which recommended that it be considered by the full membership of the Steering Committee at its June 4th meeting.

The UEN FY 2009 budget reflects significant growth in on-going state appropriations and federal E-Rate reimbursements. We propose to use those additional resources to substantially increase the capacity of the UEN network backbone, initiate network improvements at nearly 100 elementary and charter schools to replace T-1 connectivity with 100 megabit fiber connections, add the University of Utah to the consortium of USHE institutions who receive course management support from UEN, and enhance our enterprise applications redundancy and reliability.

Detailed information about the FY 2009 budget is provided in Attachment A following this memorandum. The attachment summarizes revenue sources used to fund the budget, and expenditures by specific program areas.

## Policy Considerations

Major FY 2009 budget policy considerations focus on (1) revenues that are available and restrictions that limit the uses of particular revenue sources, (2) major expenditure choices, and (3) priorities shown by the recommended budget choices.

## 1. Income

Total revenues on which the FY 2009 budget is based are projected to be $\$ 34.9$ million. A detailed listing of all revenue sources for the FY 2009 budget is provided on page 2 of Attachment A. Income sources and expenses since FY 2006 are summarized in Table 1, and growth trends in major revenue sources during this period are graphically illustrated in Chart 1.

Table 1
Income and Expenditure Summary

| UEN |  | FY 2006 |  | Y 2007 |  | Y 2008 |  | Y 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| INCOME |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| State Funding: On-going | \$ | 15,886,700 | \$ | 17,493,900 | \$ | 19,831,700 | \$ | 21,201,900 |
| State Funding: One-time | \$ | 2,300,000 | \$ | 2,500,000 | \$ | 3,595,000 | \$ | 1,280,000 |
| Federal E-rate | \$ | 5,202,243 | \$ | 7,326,450 | \$ | 8,000,000 | \$ | 9,000,000 |
| Community Service Grant | \$ | 2,432,955 | \$ | 2,518,264 | \$ | 2,431,287 | \$ | 2,250,000 |
| Grant Income | \$ | 593,006 | \$ | 534,630 | \$ | 507,894 | \$ | 39,412 |
| Other Income | \$ | 2,188,646 | \$ | - | \$ | 1,540,401 | \$ | 1,109,136 |
| TOTALS | \$ | 28,603,550 | \$ | 30,373,244 | \$ | 35,906,282 | \$ | 34,880,448 |


| EXPENSES |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personnel | \$ | 7,607,200 | \$ | 8,295,108 | \$ | 9,914,000 | \$ | 9,914,000 |
| Circuits | \$ | 10,114,518 | \$ | 10,891,464 | \$ | 13,010,874 | \$ | 15,212,058 |
| Capital Equipment | \$ | 3,283,100 | \$ | 4,154,845 | \$ | 4,260,800 | \$ | 4,660,300 |
| Other | \$ | 7,598,732 | \$ | 7,031,827 | \$ | 8,720,608 | \$ | 5,094,090 |
| TOTALS | \$ | 28,603,550 | \$ | 30,373,244 | \$ | 35,906,282 | \$ | 34,880,448 |

## Chart 1

## Budget Summary Trend



State appropriations for FY 2009 total $\$ 22.5$ million. Compared to FY 2008, on-going funds will increase by $\$ 1.4$ million, and one-time appropriations are $\$ 2.3$ million less than in FY 2008. Chart 2 plots the growth in Legislative funding to UEN from FY 2006. During the four year period since FY 2006, on-going state funding increased 33.5 percent.

## Chart 2

## UEN State Funding

On-Going vs One-Time


New funds allocated by the Legislature for FY 2009 are intended to increase capacity of the network backbone from 1 gigabit per second to 10 gigabits per second, provide ongoing and one-time funds to begin the first phase of awarding telecommunications contracts to increase network capacity at elementary and charter schools, and pay onetime costs for equipment to expand our course management applications to support the University of Utah and increase redundancy and reliability. The remaining new state funds will pay salary and benefit increases for UEN employees.

In addition to state appropriations, UEN will receive revenues from grants and E-Rate reimbursement for telecommunications services, revenues carried forward from this year, and other miscellaneous sources. An important income source in the budget is the Community Service Grant (CSG), which must be used to support KUEN and related services and personnel. E-Rate funds reimburse a portion of telecommunications services provided to public schools and paid for by UEN. We applied for somewhat higher E-Rate reimbursements for FY 2009 than in FY 2008 but have not yet received approval for the contracts that have been submitted for FY 2009. The budget reflects E-Rate reimbursements we will have actually collected during the current year or early in FY 2009, not revenues anticipated for all of FY 2009. E-Rate income is reported as Universal Service Fund Discounts, and amounts to $\$ 9.0$ million, an increase of $\$ 1.0$ million more than was budgeted for FY 2008. Since FY 2006, E-Rate funding will have increased by 73.0 percent.

## 2. Major Expenditure Choices

Specific departmental budget recommendations are summarized on Page 1 of Attachment A, and detailed budget proposals are outlined on Pages 3-27. The following chart illustrates the distribution of funds in the FY 2009 Budget to the major expense categories.

## Chart 3

UEN Expenses 2009


## Major decisions reflected in departmental budgets are as follows:

1. UEN staff members will receive a $3.0 \%$ salary increase this year. The cost of monthly premiums for health insurance and state retirement premiums will be supported by increased state appropriations, but employee costs for benefits will also be increased by a modest amount of a few dollars per month.
2. Nearly all departmental operating budgets will remain flat or be adjusted only slightly next year.
3. The circuit budget pays for all network contracts with telecommunications providers, and will be $\$ 15.2$ million in FY 2009. That budget will now comprise about $44 \%$ of our total budget and has been increased by $\$ 1$ million from FY 2008 to FY 2009. (See Chart 4)

The growth of the circuit budget results from the dramatic increase in the capacity of the network backbone and connections to universities, colleges, district offices, and secondary schools. Since FY 2006, circuit costs increased 50.4 percent.

Chart 4
Growth in Circuit Budget


Our typical circuit connections to universities, colleges, and secondary schools have been upgraded from $\mathrm{T}_{1}(1.4 \mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{s}$ ) to Ethernet $1000 \mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{s}$ circuits, a $700 \%$ increase in capacity. The typical cost of a T1 circuit is about $\$ 100$ per month, for a megabit cost of $\$ 71.40$ per megabit each month. $1000 \mathrm{mb} / \mathrm{s}$ Ethernet circuits have an average cost of nearly $\$ 1,500$ per month. The cost of circuits has increased 15 times, but the carrying capacity of the circuits has increased 700 percent at a cost of $\$ 1.50$ per megabit.
4. Because of excellent contracts we have negotiated during the past several years, Internet access charges will remain flat, even though Internet capacity has increased about six times since FY 2005.
5. UEN provides connectivity to both the National Lambda Rail (NLR) and Internet2, which directly benefits the researchers at the University of Utah and Utah State University and other colleges, universities, and K-12 schools through UEN-paid membership in the Internet2 SEGP program. In FY 2009, ongoing operational fees to participate in NLR and Internet 2 will cost \$312,000.
6. The technical service special project account is budgeted at $\$ 900,000$, the amount allocated by the legislature to initiate network improvements at elementary and charter schools during FY 2009. These funds will be increased substantially as funding for projects not completed during the current year will be carried forward into this account.
7. Because IP Video projects are now complete, that budget is no longer needed and all operational funds associated with managing the video operations system have been moved to the Video Operations Center budget.
8. The Course Management System (CMS) budget is $\$ 1.37$ million. It contains ongoing and one-time funds to pay for licensing, staff, and equipment expenditures to host CMS services for U of U, USU, UVU, Dixie State, Snow College, College of Eastern Utah, and UCAT.
9. In FY 2008, UEN used the UEN Satellite budget and additional one-time funds to replace the satellite system with IP Video. Starting in FY 2009, we planned with USU IT and continuing education administrators to use ongoing funds from the UENSS budget to support USU IP video needs. Ongoing funds that had previously been in the UENSS budget now total $\$ 1.325$ million, and are managed in the UEN Video Operations Center and Field Operations budgets. We also maintain a "virtual" UENSS budget that we review regularly with USU administrators to document our ongoing commitment to support USU continuing education requirements.
10. Budget support to UEN-funded activities managed by regional service centers and regional hubs will remain the same as in FY 2008. Regional trainers and regional technical staff will receive the same salary and benefit increases as UEN staff members.

## 3. Budget Priorities

A helpful way to show funding priorities in the FY 2009 budget is to examine the extent to which programmatic areas have received increased funding or budget reductions, from FY 2008 to FY 2009. Table 2 demonstrates that a sizeable increase in funding is recommended in the technical services and instructional service areas. The increase in technical services spending is accountable primarily to the growth in the circuit budget. The reduction of the UEN-USU satellite budget by $\$ 4.658$ million reflects elimination of the UENSS satellite budget and its transfer to UEN VOC, field operations and USU budgets and expenditure of 1-time funds in FY 2008 to convert the satellite system to IVC which were not replaced in FY 2009.

## Table 2

Changes in Funding from FY 2008 to FY 2009, by Programmatic Area

| Programmatic Area | Increase or Decrease in Funding |
| :--- | ---: |
| Technical Services | $\$ 2,788,115$ |
| Instructional Services | $\$ 233,920$ |
| Administration | $\$ 152,849$ |
| KUEN | $\$ 7,919$ |
| O \& M, Reserves | $\$ 672,209$ |
| Pass through to Regional Service Centers | $\$ 16,842$ |
| Conversion of UEN-USU Satellite Project to IVC | $(\$ 4,658,900)$ |
| Pass through to USOE | $\$ 2,400$ |
| Public Information | $(\$ 45,055)$ |
| Other grants | $\mathbf{( \$ 1 7 9 , 6 3 3 )}$ |
| Total Funding Change, FY 2008 to FY 2009 | $(\$ 1,009,334)$ |

Support to regional service centers and USOE will increase by a modest amount as a reflection of salary increases provided to staff supported by UEN. Public information is recommended to receive a budget reduction based on changing its role with development of the ITV guide.

A second way to demonstrate the priority of particular programs is by indicating the percentage of available state appropriations that each will receive. Table 3 ranks program areas according to the percentage of total state appropriations they receive. There is limited discretion on usage of most other revenue sources, so grants, E-Rate reimbursements, and other revenue sources are not reflected in the table.

## Table 3

Percentage of State Appropriations Received by Program Areas, FY 2009

| Program Area | State Appropriation | Percent of Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Technical Services | $\$ 16,151,771$ | $71.84 \%$ |
| Instructional Services | $2,030,199$ | $9.03 \%$ |
| UEN-USU IVC | $1,350,167$ | $6.01 \%$ |
| Administration | $1,371,078$ | $6.10 \%$ |
| Regional Service Centers | 578,246 | $2.57 \%$ |
| Pass through to USOE | 118,743 | $0.53 \%$ |
| O \& M, Reserves | 434,500 | $1.93 \%$ |
| KUEN | 317,196 | $1.41 \%$ |
| Public Information | 130,000 | $.58 \%$ |
| Total State Appropriations | $\$ 22,481,900$ | $100.0 \%$ |

## Recommendation

It is recommended that the UEN Steering Committee review and approve the FY 2009 UEN Budget.

# Tab 17 Attachment A FY 2009 UEN Budget 

UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK BUDGET FY 2009 EXPENDITURES

| DEPARTMENT | APPROVED BUDGET FY 2008 | ACTUAL BUDGET FY 2008 | PROJECTED BUDGET <br> FY 2009 | CHANGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Administration | 2,021,766 | 2,184,490 | 2,174,615 | 152,849 |
| Public Information / Communications | 382,839 | 382,839 | 337,784 | $(45,055)$ |
| Technical Services |  |  |  |  |
| Operations | 14,738,288 | 13,560,430 | 16,169,641 | 1,431,353 |
| Network Operations Center | 1,692,239 | 1,692,239 | 1,286,373 | $(405,866)$ |
| Network Engineer | 412,740 | 412,740 | 865,260 | 452,520 |
| Field Operations | 1,429,161 | 1,469,161 | 1,821,112 | 391,951 |
| Applications Engineer | 565,766 | 565,766 | 597,304 | 31,538 |
| FY08 UEN Special Project Account | 107,841 | 2,138,023 | 880,000 | 772,159 |
| Technical Services Planning | 278,734 | 288,734 | 283,647 | 4,913 |
| Security | 312,864 | 327,864 | 329,283 | 16,419 |
| Enterprise Applications Support | 890,169 | 884,493 | 916,008 | 25,839 |
| WebCT (Blackboard) | 1,344,273 | 1,374,561 | 1,374,484 | 30,211 |
| NLR/Internet 2 | 216,560 | 216,560 | 312,000 | 95,440 |
| Software Development | 723,000 | 723,000 | 757,226 | 34,226 |
| Video Operations | 1,377,836 | 1,243,695 | 1,261,285 | $(116,551)$ |
| IP Video Classroom Upgrades | 1,265,000 | 924,256 | - | (1,265,000) |
| UEN-USU IVC (On-Going) | 1,293,900 | 1,464,166 | - | (1,293,900) |
| UEN-USU Satellite Conversion to IVC (One-time) | 2,100,000 | 384,982 | - | (2,100,000) |
| Advocates | 165,975 | 165,975 | 199,705 | 33,730 |
| Scheduling | 103,339 | 103,339 | 101,599 | $(1,740)$ |
| Instructional Services |  |  |  |  |
| IS Grants | 19,529 | 19,529 | - | $(19,529)$ |
| KUEN - Programming | 489,437 | 492,245 | 493,603 | 4,166 |
| Operations | 1,048,737 | 1,061,197 | 1,230,365 | 181,628 |
| Web Resources | 695,512 | 695,512 | 738,007 | 42,495 |
| Professional Development | 969,388 | 1,023,041 | 1,005,763 | 36,375 |
| Other |  |  |  |  |
| KUEN Broadcast Engineering | 601,076 | 580,205 | 583,995 | $(17,081)$ |
| KUEN DTV Conversion | 110,000 | 110,000 | 135,000 | 25,000 |
| Operations and Maintenance | 362,291 | 1,111,573 | 1,034,500 | 672,209 |
| YIC, Little Bites, Big Steps \& Proj. Archaeology | 69,861 | 145,440 | - | $(69,861)$ |
| Internet Safety I \& II | 13,406 | 107,174 |  | $(13,406)$ |
| Water Wise Utah | 104,755 | 122,014 | 8,389 | $(96,366)$ |
| TOTAL EXPENSES | 35,906,282 | 35,975,243 | 34,896,948 | $(1,009,334)$ |
| TOTAL INCOME | 35,168,557 | 35,127,308 | 34,896,948 | $(271,609)$ |
| BALANCE (INCOME LESS EXPENSES) |  |  | (0) |  |

## UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK BUDGET FY 2009

 income| SOURCES OF INCOME | Approved Income Budget FY 2008 | Actual Income Budget FY 2008 | Projected Income <br> FY 2009 | Change |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| STATE APPROPRIATIONS |  |  |  |  |
| UEN Legislative Funding (On-Going) | 17,857,800 | 16,477,800 | 16,771,733 | 1,086,067 |
| UEN Legislative Funding (One-Time) | - | - |  | - - |
| 10 Gig one time |  |  | 200,000 | $(200,000)$ |
| 10 Gig on going |  |  | 200,000 | $(200,000)$ |
| Elementary/Charter School (One-Time) |  |  | 500,000 | $(500,000)$ |
| Elementary/Charter School (On-going) |  |  | 700,000 | $(700,000)$ |
| Disaster Recovery Richfield Data Center (One-time) |  |  | 380,000 | $(380,000)$ |
| UEN-USU Satellite Project | 1,293,900 | 1,173,900 | 1,350,167 | $(56,267)$ |
| CMS - UofU (One-Time) | 630,000 | 630,000 | 200,000 | 430,000 |
| CMS (On-Going) | 480,000 | 480,000 | 480,000 | - |
| IP Video project (On-Going) | 200,000 | 200,000 | 200,000 | - |
| IP Video project (One-Time) | 865,000 | 865,000 |  | 865,000 |
| Network Infrastructure (On-going) | 2,100,000 | 1,500,000 | 1,500,000 | 600,000 |
| UEN-USU Satellite Conversion to IVC (One-Time) \$2,100,000 FY07 | - | - |  | - |
| OTHER |  |  |  |  |
| CIB | 100,000 | - |  | 100,000 |
| KUEN SPEC. PROJECTS | 40,000 | - |  | 40,000 |
| INTEREST INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| KUEN/EDNET/UtahLINK | 30,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | $(70,000)$ |
| CARRY FORWARD |  |  |  |  |
| KULC Funds Allocated for DTV | 400,000 | 435,307 |  | 400,000 |
| UEN Satellite Budget |  | 170,266 |  | - |
| FY07 UEN Special Project (After E-rate) |  | 1,505,589 |  | - |
| Operating Carry Forward |  | 176,780 |  | - |
| IS Grant: Intel Grant - Classic | 19,529 | 19,529 |  | 19,529 |
| IS Pro Dev: Teacher Training Instit \& Integrating Tech |  | 55,077 |  | - |
| YIC |  | 45,679 |  | - |
| IS - Operations USOE |  | 19,960 |  | - |
| UEN TV Workshops |  | 452 |  | - |
| Security 2007 Projects Carry Forward to 2008 |  | 15,000 |  | - |
| Admin Vehicle |  | 20,000 |  | - |
| KUEN Tech Broadcasting |  | 5,000 |  | -- |
| Contingency |  | 600,000 | 600,000 | $(600,000)$ |
| CORPORATION FOR PUBLIC BROADCASTING |  |  |  |  |
| Community Service Grant | 2,629,740 | 2,282,194 | 2,250,000 | 379,740 |
| KUED DIRECT SUPPORT |  |  |  |  |
| Training \& Content | 22,530 | 22,530 | 22,530 | - |
| Public Information | 33,000 | 33,000 | 16,500 | 16,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| GRANT INCOME |  |  |  |  |
| USOE and UIMC | 22,530 | 22,530 | 22,530 | - |
| Bridgestone/Firestone Trust | 8,000 | 7,700 | 7,700 | 300 |
| Distance Service Grant FY08 |  | 44,000 |  | - |
| USDA RUS Grant Distance Learning \& Telemedicine |  | 21,749 |  | - |
| Community Impact Grant San Juan Phase II |  | 66,758 |  | - |
| Youth In Custody (YIC) USOE + CPB | 69,861 | 69,861 |  | 69,861 |
| Internet Safety Project SECP 7 | 13,406 | 21,538 |  | 13,406 |
| Internet Safety Project SECP 8 | - | 98,670 | 10,286 | $(10,286)$ |
| Water Wise Utah | 104,755 | 119,633 | 8,096 | 96,659 |
| Project Archaeology | - | 20,000 |  | - |
| Little Bites, Big Steps Partnership | - | 10,000 |  | - |
| OTHER INCOME |  |  |  | - |
| CMS REIMBURSEMENT (WebCT) | 115,000 | 247,670 | 247,670 | $(132,670)$ |
| Universal Service Fund Discounts | 8,000,000 | 7,400,000 | 9,000,000 | $(1,000,000)$ |
| Dutch John Rental | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | - |
| Service Income from EDNET | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | - |
| ITS Internet Access |  | - |  | - |
| Library Internet Access | 21,000 | 21,000 | 21,000 | - |
| Idaho State University Internet 1 |  | - |  | - |
| Misc. Other | 20,000 | 20,000 | 20,000 | - |
| Sprint/Nextel | - | 14,400 | - | - |
| Pioneer Committee Workshop | - | - |  | - |
| Equipment Credits/University Surplus | - | - |  | - |
| Expenditure Recovery | - | - |  | $-$ |
| COMPUTER OPERATIONS |  |  |  |  |
| UNIX/Enterprise Support (Bryan Peterson) |  |  |  |  |
| KUED | 37,087 | 39,105 | 39,105 | $(2,018)$ |
| KUER | 10,093 | 12,687 | 12,687 | $(2,595)$ |
| OIT (none in FY08) | 2,500 | - |  | 2,500 |
| Media Solutions | 28,826 | 22,944 | 22,944 | 5,882 |
| TOTAL | 35,168,557 | 35,127,308 | 34,896,948 | 366,895 |

UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING

| Budget Line Item | APPROVED BUDGET FY 2008 | ACTUAL BUDGET FY 2008 | PROJECTED BUDGET FY 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personnel | 1,135,516 | 1,135,516 | 1,221,996 |
| Auditor | 32,000 | 32,000 | 32,000 |
| Supplies | 40,500 | 40,500 | 45,500 |
| Phones | 93,000 | 93,000 | 93,000 |
| Professional Development | 25,200 | 25,200 | 25,200 |
| Equipment | 7,500 | 7,500 | 7,500 |
| Reading First Project | - | 116,540 | - |
| Office Remodel Expenses | - | 50,000 | 5,000 |
| Vehicle Purchase | 20,000 | 20,000 | - |
| Employee Recruitment | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 |
| In-state Travel | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 |
| Legal Fees/Consulting | 10,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 |
| Tech Administration | 229,050 | 229,050 | 236,090 |
| Additional FTE | - | - | 54,340 |
| Tech Admin. Travel \& Prof. Devel. | 9,000 | 9,000 | 9,000 |
| Instructional Support Administration | 190,000 | 186,184 | 204,989 |
| I.S. Travel \& Prof. Devel. | 8,000 | 8,000 | 8,000 |
| Office of Info. Tech. | 218,000 | 218,000 | 218,000 |
| TOTAL | 2,021,766 | 2,184,490 | 2,174,615 |
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Additional expense to add junipers and routers to increase bandwidth
For tool changes and improvements for example new projectors.
UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK


1 Field Operations overall budget change $\$ 552,985$ - (Inventory Speciailist includes benefits)
2 From UENNUS VVC Virual Budget 03728
3 Includes actual benefits
UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING
APPLICATION ENGINEERING


[^0]UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING
UEN SPECIAL PROJECTS

|  |  |  |  |  | One-Time |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Line ltem | APPROVED BUDGET FY 2008 | actual budget FY 2008 | PROJECTED BUDGET FY 2009 | CHANGE | State Approp. | E-rate | Total Expenses (Less E-rate) |
| SEDC Firewall |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY08 Special Project Fund |  | 32,434 |  |  |  |  |  |
| FY08 Phase 4.75 and FY07 Carryforward Phase 3\& 4 | 107,841 | 2,105,589 |  | (107,841) |  |  |  |
| ElementarylCharter One Time |  |  | 500,000 |  | 500,000 |  |  |
| Disaster Recovery Center-Richfield |  |  | 380,000 |  | 380,000 |  |  |
| TOTAL | 107841 | 2138.023 | 880.000 | 772159 | 880.000 |  |  |

(8 of 27)
UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING

|  |  |  |  |  | On-Going Expenses |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Line Item | APPROVED BUDGET FY 2008 | ACTUAL BUDGET <br> FY 2008 | PROJECTED BUDGET <br> FY 2009 | CHANGE | State Approp. |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Personnel | 242,234 | 242,234 | 250,837 | 8,603 | 250,837 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Staff Equipment | 7,000 | 7,000 | 3,000 | $(4,000)$ | 3,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Software \& Supplies | 1,250 | 1,250 | 1,250 | - | 1,250 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| In-State Travel | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | - | 1,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Supplies (Misc. Staff Equip, Lab Support) | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,250 | 250 | 1,250 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Remote Phone/Pager Access | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,810 | 60 | 3,810 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Professional Development | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | - | 7,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consulting Etc. (Real Server Licensing) | 15,000 | 25,000 | 15,000 | - | 15,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 278,734 | 288,734 | 283,647 | 4,913 | 283,647 |

UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BuTGETPLANNING
SECURITYY Trov Jessum

UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING


UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING
WebCT (Blackboard) - Bryan Peterson

Does not include Telescope and Wimba Software. ( In Bryan Petersons Web CT budget)
UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING

| Research Network - Jim Stewart. Barry Brvson |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Budget Line Item | APPROVED BUDGET <br> FY 2008 | ACTUAL BUDGET <br> FY 2008 | PROJECTED BUDGET FY 2009 | CHANGE | State Approp. |
| NLR Fee (FRGP Fees \& Equipment) | 87,760 | 87,760 | 100,000 | 12,240 | 100,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Internet 2 | 100,000 | 100,000 | 100,000 | - | 100,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Support for New Hire S.Corbato | - | - | 50,000 | 50,000 | 50,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| NLR Local Loop | 28,800 | 28,800 | 62,000 | 33,200 | 62,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 216,560 | 216,560 | 312,000 | 95,440 | 312,000 |

Proposed name change to Research Network
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UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK VIDEO OPERATIONS CENTER - Louie Valles

|  |  |  |  |  | On-Going Expenses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Line Item | APPROVED BUDGET FY 2008 | ACTUAL BUDGET FY 2008 | PROJECTED BUDGET FY 2009 | CHANGE | State Approp. | UENUSUIP Virtual Video |
| Personnel SLC VOC | 417,836 | 417,836 | 271,253 | (146,583) | 271,253 |  |
| Personnel from IP Video USUIUEN | 680,000 | 520,828 | 545,032 | (134,968) |  | 545,032 |
| 800 Line Charges | 9,000 | 16,654 | - | $(9,000)$ |  |  |
| Parts \& Supplies | 4,500 | 4,500 | 4,500 | - | 4,500 |  |
| Equipment | 25,000 | 25,000 | 47,000 | 22,000 | 25,000 | 22,000 |
| Service Contracts Codecs/MCU | 200,000 | 238,609 | 295,000 | 95,000 | 200,000 | 95,000 |
| Telephone and Support VOC/IP |  | 3,768 | 25,000 | 25,000 |  | 25,000 |
| Training (for Instructors) | 25,000 |  | 25,000 | . |  | 25,000 |
| In-state Travel | 1,000 | 1,000 | 2,500 | 1,500 | 1,000 | 1,500 |
| Operations Retreat | 1,500 | 1,500 | 3,000 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 |
| Telephones | 4,000 | 4,000 | 8,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 |
| Professional Development | 10,000 | 10,000 | 35,000 | 25,000 | 10,000 | 25,000 |
| Service Income |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 1,377,836 | 1,243,695 | 1,261,285 | (116,551) | 517,253 | 744,032 |

1 Louie intiated entry of o8 budget numbers from USU/UEN IP Video Budget- revisions still needed
2 Jim said this may not be needed.
Jim said this may not be needed.
s/b 23,00 from UEN/USU IP Virtual Video budget-
revision needed
revision needed
4 Operations Retreat not on spreadsheet showing money to VOC from UEN/USU IP Budget
5 In State travel not on spreadsheet showing money to VOC from UEN/USU IP Budget
6 Equipment not on spreadsheet showing money to VOC from UEN/USU IP Budget
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING
IP VIDEO - Mike Petersen
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UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
Advocates - Barry Bryson

|  |  |  |  |  | On-Goina Expenses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Line Item | APPROVED BUDGET FY 2008 | ACTUAL BUDGET <br> FY 2008 | PROJECTED BUDGET <br> FY 2009 | CHANGE | State Approp. | Other |
| Personnel | 142,335 | 142,335 | 151,077 | 8,742 | 141,077 | 10,000 |
| Supplies | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,000 | - | 1,000 |  |
| Equipment | 2,600 | 2,600 | 2,600 | - | 2,600 |  |
| Wi-Fi data cards | - | - | 1,440 | 1,440 | 1,440 |  |
| Phones | 2,240 | 2,240 | 2,088 | (152) | 2,088 |  |
| Professional Development | 5,300 | 5,300 | 8,000 | 2,700 | 8,000 |  |
| In-State Travel | 12,500 | 12,500 | 12,500 | - | 12,500 |  |
| Regional Planning/ CustomerSupp | - | - | 21,000 | 21,000 | 21,000 |  |
| TOTAL | 165,975 | 165,975 | 199,705 | 33,730 | 189,705 | 10,000 |

Proposed name change to Advocates
UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING
SCHEDULING - Louie Valles

|  |  |  |  |  | On-Going Expenses |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Line Item | APPROVED BUDGET <br> FY 2008 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACTUAL BUDGET } \\ \text { FY } 2008 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | PROJECTED BUDGET FY 2009 | CHANGE | State Approp. | UEN/USU IP Virtual Video |
| Personnel | 93,339 | 93,339 | 91,599 | (1,740) | 44,033 | 47,566 |
| Equipment \& Office Supplies | 3,500 | 3,500 | 3,500 | - | 3,500 |  |
| Professional Development | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | - | 5,000 |  |
| In-state Travel | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,500 | - | 1,500 |  |
| TOTAL | 103,339 | 103,339 | 101,599 | $(1,740)$ | 54,033 | 47,566 |

UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING
I S GRANTS

| $\begin{aligned} & u \\ & 0 \\ & 2 \\ & \frac{2}{x} \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | $$ | in |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | $$ | - |
|  | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} 0 \\ 0 \\ 0 \\ \sim \end{array}\right\|$ | - |
|  |  | - |

UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2000 BUDGT PLANNING
KUEN PROGRAMEING－Laura Hunter

|  | （100 | $0 \begin{gathered} \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\dot{e}} \\ \stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{0} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 稨 | $0$ |  | $\stackrel{\circ}{\circ}$ | 啇 | \|ọ | 商 | 商 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| （r｜r｜r |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ¢ | N | － |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 槀 |  |  | $0$ |  |  |  |  | 号 | － |  |
| 皆 |  |  | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ | \％ |  |  | 器 | $\mid$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 㻤 | 完 | ＊ |  |
|  |  |  | N | 骨 |  | $\stackrel{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{e}}{\substack{0}}$ | N | $\xrightarrow{\frac{\rightharpoonup}{n}}$ |  | （ |
|  |  | $0$ |  | $18$ |  |  | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} \substack{n} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | － |
|  |  |  |  |  | ｜rin | （1） |  |  |  | － |
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New Position. Computer profoss ional $60,000+3.8 \%$ benefits. (KUED 16,500 , UsoEUUMIC 22,500 UEN 10,000 ) There will be a shorttal for the differencee.
USOE Training Specialist Support Salary will have a $3 \%$ increase on some portion to be determined.
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UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING
IS WEB RESOURCES - Laura Hunt

|  |  |  |  |  | On-Goina Expenses |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Line Item | APPROVED BUDGET <br> FY 2008 | ACTUAL BUDGET FY 2008 | PROJECTED BUDGET FY 2009 | CHANGE | State Approp. | CSG | Total On-Going Expenses |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lesson Plans | 15,000 | 15,000 | 15,000 | - | 15,000 |  | 15,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Web Design \& Maintenance | 73,000 | 73,000 | 73,000 | - | 73,000 |  | 73,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| WEBCT Vista Support | 6,000 | 6,000 | 6,000 | - | 6,000 |  | 6,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Content Forum | 4,000 | 4,000 | 4,000 | - | 4,000 |  | 4,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Multimedia | 2,500 | 2,500 | 2,500 | - | 2,500 |  | 2,500 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Digital Media Services | 62,000 | 62,000 | 73,800 | 11,800 | 73,800 | - | 73,800 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Pioneer Committee Workshops | 3,000 | 3,000 | 3,000 | - | 3,000 | - | 3,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Software (Pioneer Committee) | 530,012 | 530,012 | 560,707 | 30,695 |  | 560,707 | 560,707 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | 695,512 | 695,512 | 738,007 | 42,495 | 177,300 | 560,707 | 738,007 |

1 Does not include Telescope and Wimba Soffware. (In Bryan Petersons Web CT budget)
UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING

1 Does not include carryforwardfrom Equip. in FY08
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UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FF 2009 BuDGET PLANNING
KUEN DTV Conversion


| $\quad$UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK <br> FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING <br> UEN-USU IP Video (Previously Satellite) PROJECT |
| :--- |


| Budget Line Item | APPROVED BUDGET FY 2008 | ACTUAL BUDGET FY 2008 | PROJECTED BUDGET <br> FY 2009 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Simple Classrooms (120) | 1,307,405 | - |  |
| Desktop Classroom Systems Tandberg (35) | 252,595 | - |  |
| Desktop Classroom Systems Sony (15) | 37,500 | - |  |
| Complex Sites (6) | 160,500 | - |  |
| MCU's Radvision (2) | 150,000 | - |  |
| IPVCR Codian | 42,000 | - |  |
| Network Improvements | 50,000 | - |  |
| TOC Rebuild at USU | 25,000 | - |  |
| Infrastructure Hardening (One-Time) | 50,000 | - |  |
| Installations Costs | 25,000 | - |  |
| TOTAL EXPENDED on above projects | - | 384,982 |  |
| TOTAL | 2,100,000 | 384,982 |  |

UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING

|  |  |  |  |  | On-Goino Exnenses |  |  | One-Time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Budget Line Item | APPROVED BUDGET FY 2008 | ACTUAL BUDGET FY 2008 | PROJECTED BUDGET FY 2009 | CHANGE | State Approp. | Other | CsG | Carryforward |
| Building Maintenance | 85,000 | 102,102 | 114,500 | 12,398 | 114,500 |  |  |  |
| EBC Computer Support | 147,291 | 147,291 | 190,000 | 42,709 | 190,000 |  |  |  |
| U of U Building O \& M Expense | 130,000 | 130,000 | 130,000 |  | 130,000 |  |  |  |
| FY07 Carryforward CIB San Juan Project Phase II | - | 133,517 | - | (133,517) |  |  |  |  |
| UEN Operating Funds |  | 598,663 | 600,000 | 1,337 |  |  |  | 600,000 |
| TOTAL | 362,291 | 1,111,573 | 1,034,500 | (77,073) | 434,500 |  |  | 600,000 |

(24 of 27)

UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING
Youth in Custody

| Budget Line Item | APPROVED BUDGET FY 2008 | ACTUAL BUDGET FY 2008 | PROJECTED BUDGET <br> FY 2009 | CHANGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Consultants/Media Solutions | - | - |  | - |
| Equipment | 69,861 | 115,440 |  | $(69,861)$ |
| Supplies | - | - |  | - |
| Travel | - | - |  | - |
| TOTAL | 69,861 | 115,440 | - | $(69,861)$ |

UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING
Little Bites, Big Steps

| Budget Line Item | APPROVED BUDGET FY 2008 | ACTUAL BUDGET <br> FY 2008 | PROJECTED BUDGET FY 2009 | CHANGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service and Support Contracts | - | 8,000 |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Consulting | - | 2,000 |  | - |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | - | 10,000 |  | - |

UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING
Project Archaeology

| Budget Line Item | APPROVED BUDGET FY 2008 | ACTUAL BUDGET FY 2008 | PROJECTED BUDGET FY 2009 | CHANGE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Creating Course / Consulting | - | 10,000 |  | - |
| Supplies | - | 7,800 |  | - |
| Professional Development | - | 2,200 |  | - |
| TOTAL | - | 20,000 |  | - |
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nternet Safety Project SEPC \＃7

| － | （900＇$\varepsilon$ L） | － | †09＇8 | 907 ＇$\varepsilon 1$ | 7 101 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\cdot$ | － | － |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\bullet$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ |  | uо！̧enjeng mex．ind |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $\cdot$ | $\cdot$ | SS8＇0Z |  | se！！ddns |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （000＇9） | $\cdot$ | Lsz | 000＇9 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | （90t＇L） | － | （tSL＇L） | 90巾＇L | （stueq！nsuoj）｜euuostad |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| әu！ 1 －əuO | ヨэNヲHว |  |  |  |  |

\footnotetext{
UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
Internet Safety Project SEPC \＃8E02

| Budget Line Item | APPROVED BUDGET FY 2008 | $\begin{gathered} \text { ACTUAL BUDGET } \\ \text { FY } 2008 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PROJECTED BUDGET } \\ \text { FY } 2009 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CHANGE | Carryforward |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personnel | － | 50，144 | 10，286 | 10，286 | 10，286 |
| Travel | － | 8，336 |  | － |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Equipment／Supplies／Operating | － | 30，190 |  | － |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Consultant／Contract | － | 10，000 |  | － |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| TOTAL | － | 98，670 | 10，286 | 10，286 | 10，286 |

UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK
FY 2009 BUDGET PLANNING
Water Wise Utah (PNL Grant)
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Committee of the Whole 18

# arin - Legacy Registration Service Agreement (legacy RSA) - Action 

The American Registry for Internet Numbers (ARIN) is responsible for distribution of IP address space in North America. ARIN has developed a Legacy Registration Service agreement (legacy RSA). UEN and many other education entities in Utah fall under the legacy status and must consider this agreement. The legacy RSA is included as Attachment A.

## Background

Organizations allocated IP address blocks prior to the organization of ARIN are legacy holders of these addresses. No formal agreement exists between ARIN and these legacy holders.

There are some 4500 holders of IPv4 class B (/16) space. ARIN has developed a legacy registration agreement that formalizes arrangements with legacy holders. In a past State of Utah Registry for Internet Numbering (SURIN) board meeting this legacy registration service agreement was considered. Several issues were discussed and are outlined as follows:

1. UEN is a legacy holder of $\operatorname{IPv} 4$ space and must consider the legacy RSA.
2. Many other education entities in Utah are legacy holders of IPv4 space and are expecting UEN and SURIN to provide leadership in regards to signing the legacy RSA.
3. UEN has received and is administering a large block of IPv6 space allocated by ARIN. This is covered under the current Registration Service Agreement (RSA) with ARIN.
4. UEN and other entities are dependent on ARIN for any further IPv4 allocation. ARIN will consider providing this additional space only to legacy holders that have signed the Legacy RSA.

Taking all this into consideration, the SURIN Board voted on and passed the following resolution:

SURIN moves to have UEN sign the Legacy RSA pending the review and approval of
the agreement by the University of Utah legal department.
Further, SURIN moves to have UEN develop a position paper providing information to other legacy holders of IPv4 space, enabling these holders to make a decision regarding signing the ARIN Legacy RSA.
These motions passed unanimously.

## Recommendation

It is recommended that the UEN Steering Committee adopt a resolution in support of this action by the SURIN Board.

## Tab 18 Attachment A

Legacy LSA Version 1.1 (10/31/07)

## AMERICAN REGISTRY FOR INTERNET NUMBERS, LTD. LEGACY SERVICE AGREEMENT

This LEGACY SERVICE AGREEMENT ("Legacy Agreement") is made by and between the AMERICAN REGISTRY FOR INTERNET NUMBERS, LTD. ("ARIN"), a Virginia nonprofit corporation, and , ("Legacy Applicant"), which holds the following specifically enumerated number resources: $\qquad$
$\qquad$ ("Included Number Resources"). This Legacy Agreement only covers the Included Number Resources; any other number resources held by the Legacy Applicant pursuant to an existing RSA or not described here are not covered by the terms of this Legacy Agreement.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

ARIN is a Regional Internet Registry serving Canada, many Caribbean and North Atlantic islands, and the United States, since 1997, is responsible for the registration, administration, and stewardship of Internet number resources in these geographic areas. To complete the process for the regularization of the Included Number Resources and the provision of certain Services (as defined herein below), Legacy Applicant must comply with the provisions of this Agreement by submitting an application, an executed Legacy Agreement and provide any requested accompanying information to ARIN. For purposes of this Legacy Agreement, the term "Services" may include, without limitation, the inclusion of the legacy IP address space, and/or Autonomous System numbers ("ASNs") previously issued to Legacy Applicant in the ARIN "WHOIS" database, inverse addressing on network blocks, maintenance of network records, and administration of IP address space related to number resources issued prior to ARIN's inception on December 22, 1997 in its service area. IP address space and ASNs shall be defined as "number resources.")

## 2. APPLICATION

Legacy Applicant must complete a legacy application found on ARIN's website, located at "http://www.arin.net" (the "Website"). Legacy Applicant must: (a) provide ARIN with accurate, up-todate and complete application information, (b) promptly notify ARIN if any of its information changes during the term of this Legacy Agreement, and (c) promptly, accurately, and completely respond to any inquiry made to Legacy Applicant by ARIN or its designee during the term of this Legacy Agreement. Legacy Applicant agrees that in applying to receive or use the Services and in using the Services, it must comply with ARIN's Number Resource Policy Manual, Certificate Practice Statement, Guidelines, and Procedures ("Policies"), as published on the Website, as long as the terms of the Policies are not inconsistent with this Legacy Agreement. In the event of any inconsistency between the Policies and this Legacy Agreement, the terms of this Legacy Agreement will prevail, including but not limited to those Policies adopted after this Legacy Agreement is executed. If Legacy Applicant fails to comply with the terms of this Legacy Agreement, ARIN may terminate this Legacy Agreement and refuse to provide the Services to Legacy Applicant.
3. EVALUATION AND ACCEPTANCE

Following Legacy Applicant's completion of the online application process, ARIN will promptly evaluate Legacy Applicant's request for the Services. Evaluation may require Legacy Applicant's submission of additional documentation to support its application such as, but not limited to, state registration, Dun \& Bradstreet and/or taxpayer information, and/or registration under the province or country in which the entity is registered for verification purposes. If ARIN, in its sole and exclusive discretion, applying its published Policies and internal verification process, determines that it can provide the Services to Legacy Applicant, ARIN shall provide written notice to Legacy Applicant of its willingness to do so, and ARIN will promptly commence providing the Services to Legacy Applicant in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Legacy Agreement. If ARIN, in its sole and exclusive discretion, applying
its published Policies and internal verification process, determines that it cannot provide the Services, it will provide written notice to Legacy Applicant of its decision.

## 4. CONDITIONS OF SERVICE

(a) Provision. Subject to ARIN's agreement to provide the Services in accordance with Section 3 and Legacy Applicant's ongoing compliance with its obligations under this Legacy Agreement, including, without limitation, the payment of the Fees (as defined below), ARIN shall provide the Services to Legacy Applicant in accordance with this Legacy Agreement and the Policies.
(b) Change Request. If subsequent to signing this Legacy Agreement Legacy Applicant desires to change the Services that it receives from ARIN, it must provide ARIN with written notice (a "Change Request"). ARIN will evaluate Legacy Applicant's Change Request. If ARIN, in its sole and exclusive discretion, determines that it can provide the Services to Legacy Applicant as set forth in the Change Request, ARIN will commence providing the Services as modified to Legacy Applicant in accordance with the terms and conditions of this Legacy Agreement. If ARIN, in its sole and exclusive discretion, determines that it cannot provide the Services as requested by Legacy Applicant to be modified, it will provide written notice to Legacy Applicant that it cannot provide Services in accordance with the Change Request, and describe the reasons therefore. ARIN's inability to provide the Services in accordance with the Change Request may be subject to the provisions of Section 15(I) of this Agreement regarding Dispute Resolution.
(c) Cooperation. During the term of this Legacy Agreement, Legacy Applicant shall provide ARIN complete, up-to-date and accurate information, assistance, and cooperation that ARIN requests in ARIN's provision of the Services to Legacy Applicant, including, without limitation, during any review of Legacy Applicant's utilization of allocated number resources. If Legacy Applicant does not provide ARIN with required information, assistance, or cooperation that ARIN requests, ARIN may: (i) take such failure into account in determining Legacy Applicant's future allocation/assignment of additional number resources, and/or (ii) terminate this Legacy Agreement.
(d) Prohibited Conduct. In using the Services, Legacy Applicant shall not: (i) disrupt or interfere with the security or use of the Services; (ii) be found to have violated any applicable laws, statutes or regulations by a ruling of a court or government regulatory agency; or (iii) assist any third party in engaging in any activity prohibited by this Legacy Agreement. In the event a private party or governmental authority obtains a judgment from an appropriate judicial tribunal against Legacy Applicant, such other person shall send a copy of this judgment to ARIN's General Counsel at the address provided in Section 15(i). A definitive finding of a violation of law or regulation when established by a decision of a national, state, or other government authority regarding (i) through (iii) herein should similarly be sent to ARIN's General Counsel for ARIN's review and action. ARIN will cooperate with all government or judicial inquiries utilizing legally appropriate methods for obtaining information from ARIN regarding allegations of prohibited conduct.
(e) Content Control. Legacy Applicant acknowledges that content transmitted over the Internet occurs in real time. Accordingly, ARIN does not have the ability to control content accessible through or facilitated by those who receive number resources, directly or indirectly, from ARIN.

## 5. USE OF THE ARIN DATABASE

(a) Authorization. To obtain a digital certificate, Legacy Applicant must meet the requirements and follow the procedures as outlined in ARIN's Certificate Practice Statement ("CPS"), which is available at http://www.arin.net/CA/. The Administrative Point of Contact ("POC") will be the principal point of contact between Legacy Applicant and the ARIN database, and have the sole right to designate other qualifying POCs of Legacy Applicant with authority to modify the ARIN database ("Authority"). The Administrative POC will also facilitate Legacy Applicant's compliance with the terms and conditions of this Section 5. Legacy Applicant will provide ARIN with any documentation and information regarding the Administrative POC that ARIN requests. Legacy Applicant must notify ARIN immediately if: (i) an
employee with Authority has or will terminate its relationship with Legacy Applicant; (ii) an employee with Authority will have that Authority revoked; (iii) Legacy Applicant has reason to believe that an employee with Authority has granted or will grant a third party unauthorized access to the ARIN database; (iv) Legacy Applicant has any reason to believe that an employee with Authority should not be trusted; or (v) if Legacy Applicant wants to designate another Administrative POC. Notices to ARIN under this Section must be given by e-mail to hostmaster@arin.net, and will be effective when acknowledged as received by ARIN.
(b) Legacy Applicant is responsible for the timely and accurate maintenance of directory services data (WHOIS) as well as any organization to which it further sub-delegates number resources.
(c) Liability for Unauthorized Access. Legacy Applicant is solely and exclusively responsible for all acts and omissions undertaken by any of its POCs, whether or not authorized in law or in fact. Legacy Applicant is solely and exclusively responsible for the security of its access to and use of number resources in the ARIN database, and any loss or damage that Legacy Applicant suffers based on any unauthorized access thereto.
6. FEES; PAYMENTS
(a) ARIN's Standard Posted Fee Schedule Does Not Apply to the Legacy Resources Covered by This Agreement. ARIN hereby agrees that the fees it usually charges for Services will not apply to Legacy Applicants. ARIN's Fee Schedule, which is available at http://www.arin. net/billing/fee_schedule.html, does not apply to this Legacy Agreement, and a Legacy Fee Schedule will apply. For example, Legacy Applicants do not have to pay ARIN any "registration fee," typically set forth in the Fee Schedule.
(b) Annual Maintenance Fees Paid By Legacy Applicants For Resources Covered By This Agreement. Legacy Applicant shall only be required to pay ARIN the currently applicable "Annual Legacy Maintenance Fee" as set forth in the ARIN Legacy Fee Schedule. This fee shall be $\$ 100$ per year until the year 2013. ARIN will send an invoice to prompt such payment before the due date. This fee will be waived through 2013 if the Legacy Applicant returns one-fourth or more of the Included Number Resources. ARIN will accept the return of any IPv4 address block with a prefix size of a /24 or shorter. After 2013, ARIN, by vote of ARIN's Board, may annually increase the Legacy Fee by no more than (1) the amount charged non-Legacy holders for this maintenance service; and (2) no increase per year greater than $\$ 25$. If Legacy Applicant does not pay the Annual Legacy Maintenance Fee or other fees that may be owed ARIN hereunder, ARIN shall provide written notification to the Applicant approximately thirty (30) days following the date on which the payment is not made. If Legacy Applicant fails to make payment in response to the notice of delinquency, ARIN shall provide Legacy Applicant with an additional written notice, by certified or registered mail, return receipt requested, (as appropriate in each country), and, when possible, by e-mail and telephone. ARIN has the right to: (i) revoke the included number resources if unpaid after 12 months of the due date, and/or ARIN is unable to contact the Applicant after 12 months, or (ii) terminate this Legacy Agreement and stop providing the services. Any resources revoked pursuant to (i) shall be held by ARIN for a further period of 12 months by ARIN before they are reissued. Any Legacy Applicant whose resources are held by ARIN during this 12 month period may restore the services related to these resources and have the revocation nullified if it contacts ARIN and brings its account current during the foregoing 12 month period
(c) No Refunds. All fees paid by Legacy Applicant to ARIN are nonrefundable.
7. CURRENT AND FUTURE POLICIES

As set forth in Section 2, to the extent of any conflict between the provisions of this Legacy Agreement and the Policies, the terms of this Legacy Agreement shall prevail. Notwithstanding the foregoing, pursuant to ARIN's Internet Resource Policy Evaluation Process ("IRPEP"), ARIN maintains the Policies and may at any time in its sole and absolute discretion amend the Policies, implement new
policies (which once implemented, will be considered Policies), or make certain Policies obsolete. Such amendments or new Policies shall be binding upon Legacy Applicant immediately after they are posted on the Website. Legacy Applicant acknowledges and agrees it has read, understands, and agrees to be bound by and comply with the Policies, as amended, except to the extent those Policies may conflict with the rights and duties provided Legacy Applicant in this Legacy Agreement.
8. REVIEW OF LEGACY APPLICANT'S NUMBER RESOURCES

ARIN may, no more other than annually, or whenever a transfer or additional IP address space is requested, review Legacy Applicant's utilization of previously allocated or assigned number resources and/or other Services received from ARIN to determine if Legacy Applicant is complying with this Legacy Agreement and the Policies.

## 9. NO PROPERTY RIGHTS

Legacy Applicant acknowledges and agrees that the number resources are not property (real, personal, or intellectual) and that Legacy Applicant does not have any property rights in or to the Included Number Resources, including but not limited by this Legacy Agreement or the prior issuance of these resources to it. Legacy Applicant further agrees that it will not attempt, directly or indirectly, to obtain or assert any trademark, service mark, copyright, or any other form of property rights in any included number resources in the United States or any other country.

## 10. VOLUNTARY RETURN OF INCLUDED NUMBER RESOURCES

(a) ARIN requests that Legacy Applicant conform to RFC 2050 and RFC 2008 and voluntarily return to ARIN the portion of all Included Number Resources that it is unlikely to need over the next 10 years. A Legacy Applicant that returns no less than $25 \%$ of the Included Number Resources will be eligible for a series of benefits, including partial or permanent reduction in ARIN fees, membership and meeting costs as the Board of Trustees may from time-to-time prescribe. These benefits will increase as the percentage of Included Number Resources returned increases to 50\% and again at $75 \%$. ARIN will accept the return of any IPv4 address block with a prefix size of a $/ 24$ or shorter.
(b) ARIN will take no action to reduce the services provided for Included Number Resources that are not currently being utilized by the Legacy Applicant.

## 11. REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES

(a) By Each Party. Each party represents and warrants to the other party that: (i) it has the full power and authority to enter into and perform its obligations under this Legacy Agreement, (ii) the assent to and performance by it of its obligations under this Legacy Agreement do not constitute a breach of or conflict with any other agreement or arrangement by which it is bound, or any applicable laws, regulations, or rules, and (iii) this Legacy Agreement constitutes a legal, valid, binding, and an executory obligation of the parties executing or assenting to this Legacy Agreement, enforceable in accordance with its terms and conditions.
(b) By Legacy Applicant. Legacy Applicant hereby represents and warrants to ARIN that during the term of this Legacy Agreement: that Legacy Applicant will comply with all applicable laws, rules, and regulations in its use of the Services, including this Legacy Agreement and the Policies.

## 12. BANKRUPTCY

If Legacy Applicant: (a) files any petition under any chapter of the Bankruptcy Code or other insolvency or bankruptcy law; or (b) has a petition filed against it under any insolvency or bankruptcy law; or (c) makes a general assignment for the benefit of creditors, has a receiver appointed for it, or a trustee takes possession of all or substantially all of Legacy Applicant's assets; or (d) ceases or
intends to cease its normal business operations, Legacy Applicant will notify ARIN immediately. ARIN may intervene in any such bankruptcy or insolvency proceeding or take other appropriate, lawful action to preserve its rights under this Legacy Agreement. including terminating this Agreement. Legacy Applicant agrees to consent to ARIN's intervening in any such bankruptcy court proceeding so that ARIN can protect its rights under this Legacy Agreement with respect to the rights ARIN has under this Legacy Agreement. Legacy Applicant acknowledges and agrees that this Legacy Agreement is executory.
13. DISCLAIMERS, EXCLUSIONS, AND LIMITATIONS
(a) DISCLAIMER OF WARRANTIES. ARIN PROVIDES THE SERVICES ON AN "AS-IS" BASIS. ARIN DOES NOT REPRESENT OR WARRANT THAT THE SERVICES OR THEIR USE: (i) WILL BE UNINTERRUPTED, (ii) WILL BE FREE OF DEFECTS, INACCURACIES, OR ERRORS, (iii) WILL MEET LEGACY APPLICANT'S REQUIREMENTS, OR (iv) WILL OPERATE IN THE CONFIGURATION OR WITH OTHER HARDWARE OR SOFTWARE LEGACY APPLICANT USES. ARIN MAKES NO WARRANTIES OTHER THAN THOSE MADE EXPRESSLY IN THIS LEGACY AGREEMENT, AND HEREBY DISCLAIMS ANY AND ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES, INCLUDING WITHOUT LIMITATION, WARRANTIES OF FITNESS FOR A PARTICULAR PURPOSE, MERCHANTABILITY, AND/OR NON-INFRINGEMENT.
(b) EXCLUSION OF DAMAGES. ARIN WILL NOT BE LIABLE TO LEGACY APPLICANT OR ANY THIRD PARTY FOR ANY CONSEQUENTIAL, INCIDENTAL, INDIRECT, PUNITIVE, OR SPECIAL DAMAGES (INCLUDING, WITHOUT LIMITATION, DAMAGES RELATING TO LOST PROFITS, LOST DATA, OR LOSS OF GOODWILL) ARISING OUT OF, RELATING TO, OR CONNECTED WITH THE SERVICES, BASED ON ANY CAUSE OF ACTION, EVEN IF ADVISED OF THE POSSIBILITY OF SUCH DAMAGES.
(c) LIMITATION OF LIABILITY. EXCEPT IN THE EVENT OF A MATERIAL BREACH OF ARIN'S REPRESENTATIONS AND WARRANTIES UNDER THIS LEGACY AGREEMENT, IN NO EVENT WILL ARIN'S LIABILITY TO LEGACY APPLICANT OR ANY THIRD PARTY EXCEED THE GREATER OF (i) THE AMOUNT PAID BY LEGACY APPLICANT TO ARIN DURING THE SIX MONTHS IMMEDIATELY PRECEDING THE EVENT THAT GIVES RISE TO SUCH LIABILITY OR (ii) $\$ 100$.
14. TERM AND TERMINATION.
(a) Term. The term of this Legacy Agreement shall commence on the date Legacy Applicant first receives the Services (the "Effective Date") and shall continue for one year thereafter. This Legacy Agreement shall renew automatically on the anniversary date of the Effective Date for unlimited oneyear terms, unless earlier terminated in accordance with the termination provisions of this Legacy Agreement or if either party gives written notice to the other party of its desire not to renew this Legacy Agreement at least thirty (30) days prior to the expiration of the then-current term.
(b) Termination for Cause by ARIN. ARIN shall have the right to terminate this Legacy Agreement for cause in accordance with Section 15(j) : (i) immediately upon written notice for the reasons as set forth in Sections 2, 4(c), 4(d), 6(b), 11, or if Legacy Applicant breaches any provision of Section 5; or (ii) upon written notice if Legacy Applicant breaches any other provision of this Legacy Agreement and such breach remains uncured in ARIN's reasonable determination for thirty (30) days following ARIN's written notice to Legacy Applicant.
(c) Termination for Cause by Legacy Applicant. Legacy Applicant shall have the right to seek to terminate this Legacy Agreement for cause upon written notice if ARIN materially breaches this Legacy Agreement and such breach remains uncured for thirty (30) days after ARIN's receipt of written notice of the breach from Legacy Applicant. If ARIN believes any claim of breach is not correct or has been cured, it shall respond in writing. If the Legacy Applicant still seeks to terminate for cause, it must bring action pursuant to paragraph 15(I), and obtain a judgment by the Arbitrator
chosen for this purpose that such cause to terminate exists. If such a cause for termination is found by the Arbitrator against ARIN, the Legacy Agreement will be terminated and the Included Number Resources will resume the status they had prior to the Legacy Agreement.
(d) Termination by Legacy Applicant Through Return of Number Resources. Legacy Applicant shall have the right to terminate this Legacy Agreement at any time if it returns, without limitation, all Included Number Resources. If Legacy Applicant wishes to terminate this Legacy Agreement in accordance with this Section 14(d), the Legacy Applicant must submit thirty (30) days prior written notice to ARIN of its intent to return, in total, the Included Number Resources, and must return the resources within thirty (30) days of ARIN's receipt of written notice of the Legacy Applicant's intent. This Legacy Agreement remains binding until the Legacy Applicant has returned all Legacy number resources described in this Agreement to ARIN.
(e) Effect of Termination. If this Legacy Agreement expires or is terminated except as described in paragraph 14(c): (i) ARIN will immediately revoke the number resources and otherwise cease providing the Services and will have no liability for doing so, and (ii) Legacy Applicant must immediately pay ARIN any outstanding fees that Legacy Applicant owes.
(f) Survival. The following Sections will survive termination or expiration of this Legacy Agreement: $4(\mathrm{e}), 5(\mathrm{~b}), 5(\mathrm{c}), 6(\mathrm{~b}), 9,12,13,14(\mathrm{~d}), 14(\mathrm{e})$, and 15.

## 15. GENERAL PROVISIONS

(a) Assignment or Transfer. Legacy Applicant is permitted to assign this Legacy Agreement or any of its rights or obligations under it, with ARIN's written permission, which may not be unreasonably withheld if such assignment and/or transfer is consistent with ARIN's Transfer Policies. If Legacy Applicant attempts to assign this Legacy Agreement or any rights or obligations under it, including, without limitation, by involuntary assignment to Legacy Applicant's creditors, such assignment will be of no force or effect. The event of any transaction (whether a merger, acquisition or sale) in which Legacy Applicant's controlling managerial and/or voting interest changes during the term of this Legacy Agreement shall be considered an assignment requiring ARIN's written consent to continued use of the number resources. ARIN shall have the right to freely assign this Legacy Agreement upon written notice to Legacy Applicant if ARIN is changing its corporate organization to permit a successor organization to provide the Services contemplated by this Legacy Agreement.
(b) Pursuant to Policies, Legacy Applicant consents to assume responsibility for ensuring information involving assignments and allocations from within its allocated or assigned number resources in this Agreement is correct and provided to ARIN in a timely manner.
(c) Relationship of Parties. The relationship between the parties is and will be that of independent contractors. No joint venture, partnership, employment, agency, or similar arrangement is created between the parties. Neither party has the right or power to act for or on behalf of the other or to bind the other in any respect other than as expressly provided for in this Legacy Agreement.
(d) Entire Legacy Agreement. This Legacy Agreement (and the Policies which are hereby incorporated by reference to the extent they do not conflict with this Legacy Agreement) constitutes the entire understanding between the parties and replaces and supersedes any and all prior and contemporaneous agreements and understandings, whether oral or written, express or implied, between the parties with respect to the included Number Resources which are the subject matter of this Legacy Agreement. All other RSAs for number resources from ARIN, if any, remain unchanged by this Legacy RSA.
(e) Waiver. No waiver of any provision or consent to any action under this Legacy Agreement will constitute a waiver of any other provisions or consent to any other action, nor will such waiver or
consent constitute a continuing waiver or consent or commit any party to provide past or future a waiver or consent.
(f) Severability. If any provision of this Legacy Agreement is determined to be illegal, invalid, or otherwise unenforceable by a court or tribunal of competent jurisdiction, then to the extent necessary to make such provision and/or this Legacy Agreement legal, valid, or otherwise enforceable, such provision will be limited, construed, or severed and deleted from this Legacy Agreement, and the remaining portion of such provision and the remaining other provisions hereof will survive, remain in full force and effect, and continue to be binding, and will be interpreted to give effect to the intention of the parties insofar as possible.
(g) Successors and Assigns. This Legacy Agreement will be binding upon and inure to the benefit of the parties and with respect to ARIN, its successors and permitted assigns, and with respect to Legacy Applicant, its permitted successors and assigns.
(h) No Third-Party Rights. This Legacy Agreement is made solely for the benefit of the parties and does not, and will not, be construed to grant any rights or remedies to any other person or entity other than as expressly provided for in this Legacy Agreement.
(i) Construction. This Legacy Agreement will be construed as if it was jointly drafted by both parties and may not be construed against either one.
(j) Written Notice. All "written notice" required or permitted to be given under this Legacy Agreement will be delivered to the other party by any of the following methods: (i) hand delivery, (ii) certified U.S. mail, return receipt requested, postage prepaid, (iii) overnight courier, or (iv) electronic mail. If Legacy Applicant gives notice to ARIN, it must use ARIN's current address, which is currently: ARIN, Attention: Financial and Legal Services Department, 3635 Concorde Parkway, Suite 200, Chantilly, VA 20151, or the following e-mail address: billing@arin.net. If ARIN provides notice to Legacy Applicant, ARIN must use the contact information provided by Legacy Applicant to ARIN during the application process or other contact information provided by Legacy Applicant in accordance with the terms of this Section. All notices will be deemed received and effective as follows: (i) if by handdelivery, on the date of delivery, (ii) if by delivery via U.S. mail, on the date of receipt appearing on a return receipt card, (iii) if by overnight courier, on the date receipt is confirmed by such courier service, or (iv) if by electronic mail, 24 hours after the message was sent, if no "system error" or other notice of non-delivery is generated.
(k) Force Majeure. ARIN shall not be deemed in default hereunder, nor shall ARIN be responsible for any cessation, interruption, or delay in the performance of its obligations under this Legacy Agreement where such failure of performance is the result of any force majeure event, including, but not limited to, earthquake, flood, fire, storm, natural disaster, act of God, civil disturbances, war, terrorism, armed conflict, riots, failure of contractors or subcontractors to perform, labor strike, lockout, boycott, or acts of governmental authorities. In the event a force majeure event extends for a period in excess of thirty (30) days in the aggregate and prevents ARIN from performing its obligations under this Legacy Agreement, ARIN may, in its discretion, terminate this Legacy Agreement immediately upon written notice to Legacy Applicant.
(I) Governing Law, Jurisdiction, Venue and Dispute Resolution. (i) This Legacy Agreement and the parties' performance under it shall be governed in all respects by, and construed in accordance with, the laws of the Commonwealth of Virginia and the United States of America. In the event of any dispute(s) regarding any term or condition or provision or performance or conduct arising out of or relating to this Legacy Agreement, the parties each agree to first seek resolution through cooperative settlement negotiations involving themselves or their representatives as they each deem appropriate; and, second, in the event cooperative settlement negotiations are not successful, or do not occur, the parties agree to submit any unresolved dispute(s) to binding and final arbitration for resolution. Such arbitration shall be held in Washington, D.C., or by agreement of both parties at any other location, in accordance with the rules of the American Arbitration Association ("AAA") then in effect.

If the Legacy Applicant's principal place of business is in any country other than the United States but within ARIN's service region, ARIN agrees to hold such arbitration pursuant to the locally prevalent equivalent of AAA arbitration rules in the capital of such country upon written request of the Legacy Applicant, provided the Applicant requests this, or if ARIN begins the proceeding if a request to transfer is received no less than 30 days after such a dispute begins. A single arbiter shall be selected by the parties by striking in turn from a list of arbiters supplied by the AAA. Each party shall bear their own attorneys' fees, and the initiating party shall initially bear the costs of the arbitration's expenses. United States and Virginia law shall be controlling. Any judgment upon the award rendered pursuant to the arbitration proceeding may be entered in any court having competent jurisdiction.
(ii) If the Legacy Applicant is part of a national, state, or local government authority whose laws or regulations require that their law, jurisdiction or domicile must apply to such an agreement, when ARIN is provided a written demonstration of such national, state, or local law or regulation, the arbitration shall be conducted pursuant to the Legacy Applicant's laws. If there is a dispute regarding applicability of such law jurisdiction or domicile, it shall be decided by the arbitral tribunal if it cannot be resolved by agreement of the parties.
(m) If any subsequent version(s) of the Legacy Service Agreement is authorized by ARIN, any prior signatory of any version of the Legacy Service Agreement may choose to substitute a signed copy of the then-extant subsequent version, with all its terms, instead of the Legacy Agreement they previously signed, and the Included Number Resources will then be governed by the subsequent version. The consideration for such change is the original agreement and the agreement to abide by the revised terms. There is no requirement for an Applicant who has signed this Agreement to engage in any subsequent version.

| Agreed: (This section to be completed by <br> Legacy Applicant) | Authorized Officer |
| :--- | :--- |
| Legal Name of Company (Legacy Applicant): | Name (Print): |
| D/B/A (if any): | Title (Print): |
| ORG ID: | Signature: |
| Ticket Number: | Date: |
| Billing Contact Information if different from |  |
| authorized officer | Contact Information of Authorized Officer |
| Name (Print): | Phone: |
| Title (Print): |  |
| Phone: | Street Address: |
| E-Mail: | City and State: |
| Street Address |  |
| City and State |  |
| Costal Code: |  |

American Registry for Internet Numbers, LTD. By: (This section to be completed by ARIN)

| ARIN's Authorized Contracting Agent |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Name (Print): | Signature: |
|  |  |

Committeerofthe Whole

The UEN Network Operations Center continues to monitor and manage the health and utilization of the network. This report provides the latest statistics.

Background

## Network Backbone Availability

Month to date: 100\%
Quarter to date: $100 \%$
Year to date: 99.996\%

Network Latency Report

| USU | 4.6 ms | -0.1 ms |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| WSU | 3.7 ms |  |
| DATC | 3.4 ms |  |
| EBC | 3.0 ms |  |
| SLCC | 2.9 ms |  |
| UVU | 2.6 ms | +0.1 ms |
| UVUW | 3.2 ms |  |
| CEU | 4.0 ms |  |
| SNOW | 3.2 ms |  |
| SNOS | 3.8 ms |  |
| SUU | 5.1 ms |  |
| DIXIE | 6.2 ms |  |

## Network Backbone Utilization

| North Ring: | $76 \%$ | $+4 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Central Ring: | $117 \%$ | $+5 \%$ |
| South Ring: | $46 \%$ | $+17 \%$ |

# Internet Bandwidth Summary 

Available Bandwidth: 3.9 Gbps
Peak Utilization**: 2.4Gbps

## Network Events of significance since the last report:

No network events were recorded.
** Note: All "Peak Utilization" statistics are calculated using the 95th percentile industry standard formula.

## Recommendation

This is an informational item and requires no further action.

# WImBA Web Conferencing - Discussion 

The Utah Education Network is proceeding with implementation timelines, processes and training for the WIMBA , our hosted web conferencing tool.

## Background

WIMBA implementation and training has taken place at most of the higher education institutions. WIMBA Live Classroom, Voice Tools, Course Genie and Pronto services have been presented by WIMBA trainers in person and online. UEN staff have provided numerous training opportunities to staff and the public education advisory group and C-Forum. Implementation and training will now focus on K-12 users.

## Recommendation

This is an informational item and requires no further action.

# Public and Higher Education Advisory Reports - Discussion 

Representatives from the Public Education Advisory Committee and the Higher Education Advisory Committee will provide updates from recent committee meetings.

## Background

Materials from the committee meetings will be provided.

## Recommendation

This is an informational item and requires no further action.

# Steering Committee Meeting Minutes 

# UTAH EDUCATION NETWORK STEERING COMMITTEE 

April 11, 2008-9:00 a.m.


#### Abstract

Members Present: Debbie Rakhsha for Kenning Arlitsch, Ron Barlow, Clark Baron, Steve Corbato, Jon Crawford, Doug Chandler for Stephen Fletcher, Rick Gaisford, Brenda Hales, Stephen Hess, M. K. Jeppesen, Christine Kearl, Gary Koeven, Pat Lambrose, Ronda Menlove, Donna Jones Morris, Mike Petersen, Kirk Sitterud, Jason Stanger, Ryan Thomas, Mike Petersen, Carlene Walker, Eddie Sorensen for Ray Walker, Gary Wixom.


Others Present: Charice Black, Barry Bryson, Dave Devey, Kevin Dutt, Jeff Egly, Rich Finlinson, Claire Gardner, James Hodges, Sheryl Hulmston, Laura Hunter, Troy Jessup, Doug Jones, Karen Krier, Bill Kucera, Lisa Kuhn, Don Mahaffey, Steve Mecham, Kevin Quire, Joni Robertson, Dennis Sampson, Nate Southerland, Rick Stallings, Jim Stewart, Cory Stokes (south), Louie Valles.

## Welcome and Introductions

Brenda Hales welcomed everyone to the meeting and then turned it over to Mike Petersen who shared with the committee that this was Ryan Thomas' last meeting as he is retiring from College of Eastern Utah. Ryan has been a member of the Steering Committee for 9 years. He will be truly missed. Best of luck to Ryan and thanks for all of your hard work.

## Committee of the Whole

## Tab 7-Summary of Legislative Results FY 2009

Mike Petersen reported that the final FY 2009 appropriation for UEN is an increase of $\$ 2.5$ million. The new funds will provide for employee compensation increases, important network projects and the first phase of a multiphase project to expand bandwidth in the elementary schools. RFPs and bids are in process for the first round of funding allocation. For a detailed breakdown on where the funding will be allocated, please see Tab 7. For a detailed breakdown on the FY 2009 budget, please see Tab 7, Attachment A.

## Tab 8 - Strategic Planning and Budget for FY 2009

Mike Petersen shared that the strategic planning for the 2008-2009 fiscal year has begun. A working draft of the budget is being created at this time and will be available for distribution at the June meeting. The internal departmental budgets are also being created based upon past and present priorities and completion. During the months of April and May we will be visiting in person and on the system to exchange information and ideas with representative groups. In late May or early June the Instructional and Technical Retreats will be held to prioritize our goals and objectives to meet the needs of the state and of UEN. Members from the Steering Committee will be invited to attend the retreats.

## Tab 9-GigE and Elementary School Connections

Dennis Sampson reported that there were 2 Request for Proposals issued last fall - one was for projects to upgrade core segments of the UEN backbone to 10GB Ethernet and improve backbone segments in rural areas of the state and address expiring Internet Access contracts and capacity needs; the second was to obtain proposals for upgrading the Wide-Area-Network (WAN) capacity to elementary and charter schools statewide. UEN received 9 proposals and after a Best and Final Offer was issued in December, UEN staff made the following awards to Qwest Communications, XO Communications for the WAN circuits. The Internet Contracts were awarded to Level 3 Communications and XO Communications.

UEN's elementary school RFP was issued in October and included 22 school districts with over 250 elementary schools as well as 57 charter schools and 18 Salt Lake County library branch locations. UEN received 14 proposals and after review and evaluation the proposals were awarded to the following telcom providers: Centracom Interactive, Conterra Broadband, Frontier Communications, Emery Telephone, Qwest Communications and South Central.

For a detailed breakout of the proposal for Phase I, please see Tab 9, page 11.
A motion was made and seconded to approve the proposal as it's been
presented. THIS MOTION CARRIED.

## Tab 10 - my.uen Web Statistics

Karen Krier shared with the committee the amount of growth this Web site has experienced this last year. For a detailed table on the growth statistics please see Tab 10. My.uen is the online service that educators use to register with UEN, to access UEN tools, and to build a public web page. Some of the features that are available on my.uen include document upload, bookmarks, links to Utah core curriculum and resources, and many more features.

## Tab 11 - uen.org Redesign

Karen Krier also reported that uen.org is undergoing a "face change". The launch of the revised web page is expected within the next two weeks. One of the goals with the redesign is to make web visitors who dropped deep into our web page, via a search engine result, aware of all the other wonderful resources UEN offers. User feedback indicated that UEN's online resources and content are useful, but often difficult to
locate. The revised home page is organized so that our major resources can be found quickly and accessed in only one or two mouse clicks.

## Tab 12 - Southwest Vista User's Group Conference Report 2008

Laura Hunter reported that the 2008 Southwest Vista User's Group Conference was held at Fort Douglas Officers' Club this year on March 18-19, 2008. There was a $40 \%$ increase in attendance from last year. Laura was joined by Jared Covili as they gave the keynote address this year and more than half of the breakout sessions were presented by Utah Higher Education or UEN representatives. For a detailed summary of the conference please see Tab 12.

## Tab 13 -Tandberg Awarded New Interactive Video Conferencing Platform

Jeff Egly reported that after a four month process UEN Technical Services staff and Utah State University technical and distance education staff have completed the second of two evaluations focused on new multipoint control unit (MCU) platforms and Interactive Video Conferencing application suites for IVC management and scheduling. The intent of this evaluation was to identify the next generation platform for UEN's IVC network to insure it meets the current and future needs of Distance Education.

The next phase of this project is the implementation, testing and sign-off of the total awarded solution. Leading up to June 1st, the deadline for this phase, UEN will install seven MCU's, an eighth MCU purchased by the College of Eastern Utah in San Juan County, a new gatekeeper, the Tandberg Management suite including load balanced servers, and fully test the solution. UEN will have the summer semester to operate the new Tandberg solution in a full production environment before the much more demanding Fall semester begins. UEN Technical Services will provide progress reports to the Steering Committee as this work progresses.

## Tab 14 - Network Performance Metrics

Troy Jessup gave the statistics for the Network Performance Metrics. See Tab 14 for a detailed breakdown.

## Tab 15 - Steering Committee Meeting Minutes

A motion was made and seconded to approve the minutes as submitted. THIS MOTION CARRIED.

## Tab 16 -Other

Nothing to report.

Please note: detailed information and discussion of the issues are included in the materials prepared for the meeting. These materials are available online at www.uen.org/steering/materials/index.shtml. Please refer to them for additional reference.

The next Steering Committee meeting will be held on June 13, 2008, at 9:00 a.m. at the Dolores Doré Eccles Broadcast Center.

Committee of the Whole
тะ 23
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